

# Analytic Proof of the Baire category theorem\*

Graeme Taylor

January 7, 2005

I offer here the sort of proof of the Baire category theorem that you might encounter in an undergraduate analysis course (for me, it's a fourth year masters course but its inclusion in the syllabus is fairly arbitrary so other institutions may vary the level at which it appears). For a thought provoking approach via game theory, see ariels<sup>1</sup> writeup<sup>2</sup>. The Game-theoretic approach is a lot less ugly than this, but obviously requires you to be conversant in game theory (which I'm not).

**Baire Category Theorem:** For a complete metric space  $X$ , and a (countable) sequence of dense open subsets  $\{G_n\} \subset X$ , the intersection of the  $G_n$  is also dense.

First, some notation issues. For the sake of readability, I'll use  $\mathbf{G}$  to denote the intersection in question,  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$ , since there will be all manner of awkward subscripts as it is.

Second, the notion of a ball is vital to this proof. Since conventions vary, it's useful to be completely explicit- I'll use what can be referred to as the open ball about a point as follows:

$$B_{\delta}(x) = \{y \in X \mid d(x, y) < \delta\}$$

denotes a ball of radius  $\delta$  centred on the point  $x$  in  $X$ . The presence of strict inequality here renders this an open set.

## Proof of the Baire Category Theorem

Let  $x_0$  be a point of  $X$  and  $\delta_0 > 0$  be arbitrary. Then we seek to show that  $B_{\delta_0}(x_0) \cap \mathbf{G}$  is not the empty set (where  $B_{\delta_0}(x_0)$  is the ball of radius  $\delta_0$  about  $x_0$ ). That is to say, we can get arbitrarily close to any point we like in  $X$  and there is still a point of  $\mathbf{G}$  that close (or closer), i.e.  $\mathbf{G}$  is dense throughout the space  $X$ . We proceed initially by an inductive argument.

---

\*First appeared on Everything2, at [http://www.everything2.com/index.pl?node\\_id=1692014](http://www.everything2.com/index.pl?node_id=1692014)

<sup>1</sup>An Everything2 user, <http://www.everything2.com/index.pl?node=ariels>

<sup>2</sup>See [http://www.everything2.com/index.pl?node\\_id=456470](http://www.everything2.com/index.pl?node_id=456470)

Starting with  $G_1$ , we can find an  $x_1 \in G_1 \cap B_{\delta_0}(x_0)$ . As the finite intersection of open sets ( $G_1$  is open by definition, and any ball is open),  $G_1 \cap B_{\delta_0}(x_0)$  is open and hence a neighbourhood of all its points. Since  $x_1$  is such a point, we can construct a ball around it of some radius such that the ball is entirely contained in  $G_1 \cap B_{\delta_0}(x_0)$ .

For the purposes of the proof, we seek  $\delta_1 > 0$  st  $B_{2\delta_1}(x_1) \subset G_1 \cap B_{\delta_0}(x_0)$  and  $\delta_1 < \frac{\delta_0}{2}$ .

Now we consider the next dense set  $G_2$ . Since it is dense, we can find an  $x_2$  st  $x_2 \in G_2 \cap B_{\delta_1}(x_1)$ . Once again, this is an open set, so we find our next  $\delta_2$ , satisfying this time  $\delta_2 > 0$  st  $B_{2\delta_2}(x_2) \subset G_2 \cap B_{\delta_1}(x_1)$  and  $\delta_2 < \frac{\delta_1}{2}$ .

In this way the balls are inductively chosen to be nested within one another: in general

$$B_{2\delta_n}(x_n) \subset B_{\delta_{n-1}}(x_{n-1}) \subset B_{2\delta_{n-1}}(x_{n-1}) \subset B_{\delta_{n-2}}(x_{n-2}) \subset \dots \subset B_{2\delta_1}(x_1) \subset B_{\delta_0}(x_0)$$

So if  $n > m$ ,  $B_{2\delta_n}(x_n) \subset B_{\delta_m}(x_m) \subset B_{\delta_0}(x_0)$ . Hence  $d(x_m, x_n) < \delta_m$  (since  $x_n$  lies in a ball of radius  $\delta_m$  about  $x_m$ ), which is in turn less than  $\frac{\delta_0}{2^m}$ . †

So our sequence of  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy and we can appeal to the completeness of  $X$  to find  $x_\infty \in X$  to which the sequence converges. So fix  $m$  and let  $n$  tend to infinity. By † we have  $d(x_\infty, x_m) \leq \delta_m < 2\delta_m$ . But this means

$$x_\infty \in B_{2\delta_m}(x_m) \subset G_m \cap B_{\delta_{m-1}}(x_{m-1}) \subset G_m \cap B_{\delta_0}(x_0).$$

But  $m$  was arbitrary so by the left- and right-most terms of the above expression,

$$x_\infty \in \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} (G_m \cap B_{\delta_0}(x_0))$$

That is (by rearrangement of the intersections),  $x_\infty$  is an element of  $B_{\delta_0}(x_0) \cap \mathbf{G}$ , so that set cannot be empty (it has something in it!). We are done. †